



ANNEXURE-XI-B/1

	Peer Reviewer Comments	MECL Response
1	The report directly mentions that it is G3 stage report. There was no mention of G4 stage work. Please specify in the beginning part of the report preferably in chapter 1.2.0, the reasons for skipping up G4 stage investigation may briefly be specified. Because G3 level is advanced and detailed work than G4 work. The advancements imparted by the exploration agency may be outline.	In the Chapter 1.2.0, work done by Sagar Cement Ltd having ML in the explored area by MECL incorporated. In the final resource calculation, out of 12 boreholes, only 09 boreholes drilled by Sagar Cements Ltd have been considered.
2	Please specify item 1.1 column B1= (soft work). Limestone is hard rock.	The correction updated in the report.
3	Pl. see item 1.70. In standard geo literature it is 'Owk' not 'Auk'. It is Palnad not Palnadu. Pls checkup the sub heading numbers.	Corrected and updated.
4	1.83 purple/Flaggy limestone is the oldest unit. Order of superposition is conflicting between the table in 1.83. In Text Figure 2, clarity is lacking in the symbols of lower massive limestone and upper flaggy limestone. Please check up. Please check up the order of superposition with the strike/dip direction. In a normal undisturbed succession like the one presented in the report the older rocks occur in the up dip and the younger rocks in the down dip. Pl check in the geological map.	Corrected and updated.
5	Core recovery mentioned in 1.9.2 is 95-100%. The same thing is not reflected in the borehole lithologs given in Annexures. In the same RQD is mentioned in %. Please see the RQD terminology in ASTM manual it is in terms of 'excellent', 'very good', 'very poor', etc. as it is an important point to be considered during mining.	As per the lithologs, the average RQD for all the borehole drilled fall in the range of 60% to 80%. The same have been corrected and updated.
6	There is variation in wording between line 4 of 1.6.1 and 6.1.1. May be rectified/corrected.	Corrected and updated.
7	A small sketch may be given showing the location on cement plants in the vicinity of page no. 17, for the benefit of investors/readers.	Update in the report.
8	Legend given for text figure no 2 may be checked up for order of superposition of limestone.	Corrected and updated.
9	Elaborate letters 'TC' in page number 20. Mention why that component is needed in limestone analysis and it is reflected in analytical tables.	TC is Total Carbonate which is summation of Calcium and Magnesium Carbonate used mainly in Cement industries.
10	Please see chapter 7.5.1. Is the Uranium mineralization is recorded in the exploration area. 'yes 'or 'no' specify whichever is the case.	Corrected and updated.
11	Better pictures pmg 1 and pmg 2 may be provided for effective realization.	Apart from pmg1 and pmg2 two more pictures pmg3 and pmg 4 given in the report for better realization.
12	Wording in 8.14 may be elucidated.	Corrected and updated.
13	Topographical/geological map enclosed in plate no II may be clarified.	Corrected and updated.



ANNEXURE-XI-B/2

14	Please check up the wording in chapter-12.1 and chapter-14 is legible way to suit the context. QA/QC is not found to depict the authenticity of analysis. Veracity of determinations between the two laboratories. Quality assurance and Repeatability are important in the G3 level of investigation.	Corrected and updated in the report.
15	Resource estimation and categorization is OK. May be rechecked for the judicial presentation by the exploration agency as it is recommended for auctioning by the title holders.	Resources rechecked in the report and found ok.
16	Reference list is vague. May be rewritten all the references cited in the text may be properly listed in alphabetical form.	Corrected and updated in the report.
17	Effective type setting of the report is needed.	Corrected and updated in the report.

Dr. G. Lakshminarayana, Director (Retd.) GSI